Employee Engagement Survey: Other SSD Staff: Administrator

Results

School Year: 2021-2022





Overview of Project and Results

Engagement is the connection that individuals have with their profession in general and their current job in particular. Several factors can affect an employee's engagement, including relationships with supervisors and administrators, colleagues, students, and parents; the physical work environment; perceptions of personal safety; policy considerations and implementation; support for professional development and growth; perceptions of personal relevance; and general satisfaction.

The Special School District of St. Louis County (SSD) Employee Engagement Survey's goals were to:

- Measure the level of engagement of SSD employees
- Classify employees as highly engaged, engaged, or less engaged
- Identify areas where employee engagement can be improved

This survey was open from Jan. 19 to Feb. 11. Email invitations with unique survey links were sent to employees. Reminders were sent Jan. 27 and Feb. 1, 4, 8, and 11.

This report provides a site-level overview of the survey results. When possible, results are compared with those from 2019-2020 and 2020-2021.

Results do not reflect random sampling; therefore, they should not be generalized to all SSD employees. Rather, results reflect only the perceptions and opinions of survey participants.

Findings for each item in the report exclude participants who did not answer. Data labels less than 5 percent are not shown in charts and graphs. Percentages may not total 100 due to rounding.

Survey Design

The Employee Engagement Survey consists of three parts:

Overall Engagement is composed of nine items that are designed to measure each employee's level of engagement. Based on the average of the responses to these items, an engagement score is calculated for each survey participant. Engagement scores are classified as less engaged (<3.5), engaged (3.5 to 4.5), or highly engaged (>4.5).

Engagement Drivers are items about different aspects of the work environment that may affect engagement. Participants answered each item using the 5-point Likert scale. Engagement drivers are organized into six dimensions:


- Mission and Vision
- District Leadership
- School Leadership
- Feedback and Recognition
- Work Environment
- Career Growth and Training Opportunities

Additional Questions provide information about demographics of participants such as school, job classification, years of service, ethnicity, and gender, as well as information on staff support, professional development, and communications.




Overall Engagement

Overall Engagement: Comparison Over Time






Overall Engagement: Comparison Over Time (Continued)






Overall Engagement






Overall Engagement (Continued)






Calculating and Classifying Engagement Scores

Each participant's engagement score is the average of their responses to nine engagement questions (EQ). Those average scores are then classified on a scale of highly engaged (>4.5), engaged (3.5 to 4.5), and less engaged (<3.5).







Overall Engagement: Comparison Over Time

Responses to the nine engagement items were averaged to calculate an engagement score for each participant. To calculate the engagement score, each response option was assigned a numerical value:

- Strongly Disagree = 1
- Disagree = 2
- Neither Disagree nor Agree = 3
- Agree = 4
- Strongly Agree = 5


Average scores were classified into three levels: **Highly Engaged** (>4.5), **Engaged** (3.5 to 4.5), or **Less Engaged** (<3.5).






Engagement Driver Dimension


Mission and Vision: Comparison Over Time






Mission and Vision






Mission and Vision (Continued)





District Leadership: Comparison Over Time






District Leadership






School/Department Leadership: Comparison Over Time






School/Department Leadership: Comparison Over Time (Continued)






School/Department Leadership: Comparison Over Time (Continued)



School/Department Leadership

How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?




I can count on the support of my immediate SSD supervisor when addressing problems or issues. (N=160)

I trust my immediate SSD supervisor to make good decisions. (N=160)

My immediate SSD supervisor clearly communicates his or her expectations of my job performance. (N=160)








■ Neither Disagree nor Agree



Strongly Disagree




School/Department Leadership (Continued)






School/Department Leadership (Continued)







Feedback and Recognition: Comparison Over Time



Feedback and Recognition



Work Environment: Comparison Over Time






Work Environment

How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

■ Strongly Agree


Agree




■ Neither Disagree nor Agree

Disagree


Strongly Disagree



Career Growth and Training Opportunities: Comparison Over Time




Career Growth and Training Opportunities: Comparison Over Time (Continued)






Career Growth and Training Opportunities



Career Growth and Training Opportunities (Continued)





Staff Support: Comparison Over Time


How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statements?

There is someone I can go to in my department/school to help me with a work problem.

There is someone I can go to in my school/SSD to help me with a personal problem.

My immediate SSD supervisor is available when needed.


My immediate SSD supervisor checks to see how I am doing on a regular basis.




■ 2021-2022 (N=159) ■ 2020-2021 (N=161)



Staff Support





Highest-ranking Items

Survey Item	Percentage Strongly Agree or Agree (%)	School Climate Topic
I am familiar with the mission and vision of SSD.	96%	Mission and Vision
I support the district's mission and vision.	94%	Mission and Vision
I am engaged in my work.	93%	Overall Engagement
My colleagues support me.	90%	Work Environment
There is someone I can go to in my department/school to help me with a work problem.	90%	Staff Support



Lowest-ranking Items

Survey Item	Percentage Strongly Disagree or Disagree (%)	School Climate Topic
SSD leaders clearly explain the reasons behind decisions on key issues.	33%	District Leadership
I am recognized for my accomplishments.	33%	Feedback and Recognition
SSD leaders understand my professional needs.	32%	District Leadership
SSD recognizes employees for their high-quality work and accomplishments.	31%	Feedback and Recognition
SSD leaders promote a positive work culture.	28%	District Leadership



Focus Areas


Focus Areas to Increase Employee Engagement

Thirty-six items (drivers) were used to assess the school/workplace environment and identify opportunities to increase engagement. Each item was rated on a five-point scale, with higher values indicating stronger agreement.

The relationship between each employee's responses (driver ratings) and his or her overall engagement score was also analyzed. To do this, the strength of the relationship (the correlation coefficient) between the engagement scores and each driver was calculated. Values can range from zero to +1.0. The closer to +1.0, the stronger the relationship.


Driver ratings were classified as high or low based on the median. Correlations to engagement were classified as strong or weak based on the median.

The primary focus area (yellow) is for Items that rated low but have a strong correlation to engagement. The secondary focus area (green) is for items that received high ratings and have a strong correlation to engagement.





Engagement Dimensions Calculations





Increasing Employee Engagement

Improving scores for these items will likely increase employee engagement. The average scores for these statements are low (at or below), but the items have strong relationships to engagement.

Survey Item	Driver Rating	Correlation to Engagement
SSD leaders clearly explain the reasons behind decisions on key issues.	3.11	0.51
I am recognized for my accomplishments.	3.13	0.59
SSD leaders understand my professional needs.	3.13	0.62
SSD recognizes employees for their high-quality work and accomplishments.	3.14	0.51
SSD leaders promote a positive work culture.	3.28	0.61
SSD leaders' actions are consistent with their words.	3.35	0.59
I feel appreciated for my work.	3.41	0.64
SSD leaders encourage employees to share ideas to improve performance.	3.44	0.52
I can provide input on how the district accomplishes its mission.	3.48	0.48
The district is moving in a direction that reflects our mission and vision.	3.63	0.54
There are leadership opportunities for me in my school or department.	3.71	0.49

Median	
Driver Rating	3.92
Correlation to Engagement	0.48

Correlation to Engagement			
		Strong	Weak
Driver	Low	Primary Focus	
Rating	High	Secondary Focus	



Maintaining Employee Engagement

Celebrating these items will continue to promote positive employee engagement. The average scores for these statements are high (at or above), and the items have strong relationships to engagement.

Survey Item		Correlation to Engagement
My immediate SSD supervisor clearly communicates his or her expectations of my job performance.	3.96	0.50
I know how I can fulfill SSD's mission and vision.	4.04	0.48
The actions of my immediate SSD supervisor are consistent with his or her words.	4.06	0.52
I trust my immediate SSD supervisor to make good decisions.	4.07	0.56
My immediate SSD supervisor implements policies fairly in my school or department.	4.08	0.54
My immediate SSD supervisor promotes a positive work culture.	4.09	0.52
I can count on the support of my immediate SSD supervisor when addressing problems or issues.	4.12	0.56

Median		
Driver Rating	3.92	
Correlation to Engagement	0.48	

Correlation to Engagement			
		Strong	Weak
Driver	Low	Primary Focus	
Rating	High	Secondary Focus	



Maintaining a Positive Work Environment

While these items do not have strong relationships to engagement, the average scores for these statements are high (at or above). The district should continue its good work in these areas to maintain a positive working environment.

Survey Item	Driver Rating	Correlation to Engagement
I have the materials I need to do my job.	4.01	0.38
My immediate SSD supervisor effectively communicates about important issues that affect me.	4.01	0.47
My colleagues are held to high professional standards.	4.03	0.40
I work in an atmosphere where there is mutual respect among staff.	4.03	0.38
The district's mission and vision is clearly defined.	4.06	0.42
My colleagues help create a positive work environment.	4.14	0.24
My immediate SSD supervisor encourages collaboration within and across teams in my school or department.	4.18	0.45
My colleagues are committed to their jobs.	4.28	0.35
I support the district's mission and vision.	4.29	0.46
My colleagues support me.	4.30	0.31
I am familiar with the mission and vision of SSD.	4.34	0.30

Median	
Driver Rating	3.92
Correlation to Engagement	0.48

Correlation to Engagement			
		Strong	Weak
Driver	Low	Primary Focus	
Rating	High	Secondary Focus	



Improving the Work Environment

While these items do not have strong relationships to engagement, the average scores for these statements are low (at or below). Improving these items will promote a positive work environment.

Survey Item	Driver Rating	Correlation to Engagement
Staff members are recognized for good performance at my work site.	3.34	0.47
My immediate SSD supervisor identifies opportunities for my professional growth and improvement.	3.53	0.39
The training I have received from SSD has helped me perform my job more effectively.	3.60	0.31
SSD encourages continued education and professional growth.	3.74	0.38
My immediate SSD supervisor regularly gives me constructive feedback to improve my performance.	3.75	0.47
SSD's professional development supports district initiatives.	3.85	0.28
My immediate SSD supervisor encourages continued education and professional growth.	3.88	0.42

Median		
Driver Rating	3.92	
Correlation to Engagement	0.48	


Correlation to Engagement			
		Strong	Weak
Driver	Low	Primary Focus	
Rating	High	Secondary Focus	




Additional Questions

Professional Development: Comparison Over Time


How strongly do you agree or disagree with the following statement? I have been provided online or other remote professional development opportunities and/or training to meet the current requirements and expectations of my job.






Sharing Survey Results

The SSD Employee Engagement Survey was last administered in 2020-2021. Were the results shared with you? (N=160)




Using Data to Improve

Has your immediate SSD supervisor made changes to improve employee engagement since the 2020-2021 school year? (N=159)



District Recommendation

How likely is it that you would recommend SSD to a family member or friend? (N=146)







Follow us on Twitter: @k12insight

www.k12insight.com